

AGENDA SUPPLEMENT (2)

Meeting: Cabinet
Place: Council Chamber - Council Offices, Monkton Park, Chippenham
Date: Tuesday 14 June 2011
Time: 10.30 am

The Agenda for the above meeting was published on 6 June 2011. Since publication of this agenda, questions and statements from members of the public have been received and are now attached.

Please direct any enquiries on this Agenda to Yamina Rhouati, of Democratic Services, County Hall, Bythesea Road, Trowbridge, direct line 01225 718024 or email yamina.rhouati@wiltshire.gov.uk

Press enquiries to Communications on direct lines (01225)713114/713115.

This Agenda and all the documents referred to within it are available on the Council's website at www.wiltshire.gov.uk

5. Public participation (Pages 1 - 10)

1. Question from Father Jean-Patrice Coulon – Denominational Home to School Transport (not on agenda)
1. Question From Mr. Michael Sprules, Chairperson R.A.D.A.R. (Residents Against Development Affecting Recreational Land)
 - Engaging with Local Residents (not on agenda)
- Question and statement from Ginny Scrope - Aggregate Minerals Site Allocations Development Plan Document (DPD) - Results of the Site Appraisals (Item no. 6)
- Question from Mr Phil Matthews – Wiltshire Involvement Network (Item no. 9)
- Statement from Tamara Reay and Sue England, Co-Chair Governors, Grafton Church of England Primary School - Proposed closure of Grafton Church of England Primary School, Background paper for consideration by the Cabinet on 14 June 2011 (Item. No. 11)

DATE OF PUBLICATION: 13 June 2011

This page is intentionally left blank

Wiltshire Council

Cabinet

14 June 2011

Public Participation

From Father Jean-Patrice Coulon – Denominational Home to School Transport

Question

I would like to request that the Cabinet consider alternative arrangements for deciding the school transport proposal on 26th July. It has come to my attention that several parents, including the head teacher of St Augustine's Catholic College will not be able to attend, as this date falls in the first week of the school holidays, and they have family holidays which they have booked months in advance. In the interests of "Local, open, honest discussion", I would ask that the meeting is either deferred to September, or that there is a meeting beforehand, with representatives of the Council meeting representatives of the parents.

Such a meeting was arranged in 2007 when this was discussed, and the sports hall was filled with parents. This would suggest to me that the venue of Bradley Road for the Cabinet meeting would not be big enough, and so this "Pre-meeting" would be a case of best practice and would demonstrate the Council's intention to listen to tax payers.

This page is intentionally left blank

Public Participation

**From Mr. Michael Sprules, Chairperson R.A.D.A.R.
(Residents Against Development Affecting Recreational Land)
- Engaging with Local Residents**

Question

In April this year, I attended the appeal hearing for Brynards Hill, Wootton Bassett. This gave me a unique insight into the appeals process and also where the shortcomings occurred during the overall planning process, from both Wiltshire Council and also the Appellant in this case.

I made some extensive notes, while in attendance, as I hoped that these notes would prove useful during the consultation process of a planning application. However, this process can only be effective if the views of the local residents and their residents groups are heard.

I have listened to many Wiltshire Council Members over the past few weeks and the one common message that was repeated time and time again was that, **“Wiltshire Council wants to engage with the public and wants to make this process transparent”**.

With this in mind, my question to Cabinet is :

What measures do Wiltshire Council have in place should local residents consider that their views have not been listened to or, indeed, adequately addressed, during this crucial stage of a “Consultation Process”, especially if there is an obvious need to request that an Applicant should provide more assessments and appraisals prior to an application being submitted for discussion at the local Development Control Committee ?

May I thank the Cabinet Members and, indeed, all local Councillors in attendance for allowing me to put forward this question.

This page is intentionally left blank

Wiltshire Council

Cabinet

14 June 2011

**Public Participation
From Ginny Scrope - Aggregate Minerals Site Allocations Development Plan
Document (DPD) - Results of the Site Appraisals**

Question

On behalf of the Bromham community we would request that the members of the Council Cabinet for the future of the Bromham area consider assuring and protecting that the C18 site will not be put forward again for any future mining consideration and that it will remain Grade 2 best and most versatile agricultural land and core to the Council's agricultural policy.

Statement

On behalf of the Bromham Quarry Campaign action group and the Bromham area we have campaigned on behalf of the residents against the mining of C18 and have worked together with the Parish Council and Wiltshire Council to provide evidence for C18 to be removed from the mining plan. We are very pleased to read the officers report. We sincerely hope that this will be endorsed today by members of the cabinet

This page is intentionally left blank

Wiltshire Council

Cabinet

14 June 2011

**Public Participation
From Mr Phil Matthews – Wiltshire Involvement Network**

Question

The Wiltshire Involvement Networks(LINKS) is a Statutory Government organisation set up by Act of Parliament to represent patients and public in Wiltshire.

Why was the decision to recently suspend this organisation and its funding taken by Council Officers instead of the democratically elected Members of the Council?

This page is intentionally left blank

Public Participation

From Tamara Reay and Sue England, Co-Chair Governors, Grafton Church of England Primary School - Proposed closure of Grafton Church of England Primary School, Background paper for consideration by the Cabinet on 14 June 2011

Statement

Governors would like to take the opportunity to provide the following additional background information which provides more detail on some of the points raised in the objection from Mr Armstrong.

Data on births (as at 31 August 2010) show 48 under 5's in catchment – many more than some of our neighbouring schools in the Marlborough and Pewsey area. This demonstrates that if our school were school of choice then Grafton Primary School could be a good sized small school of approximately 60 children. That the children are there to grow our school has been a great motivation for governors.

Work to increase the popularity of the school has been carried out across a number of strands over the last few years. In addition to contributing to the good and growing reputation of Sunflowers, governors have been involved in Friends of Grafton community and fundraising events, have been involved in establishing Grafton Goslings baby and toddler group and a community wide newsletter (the Community News) to publicise activity at the school and promote the benefits of small schools. Good working relationships have been developed with the Parish Council and Parochial Parish Council.

There is widespread local support for our school but this does not translate into pupil numbers. Though parents appreciate our local school the perceived benefits of Grafton Primary School are outweighed by the perceived benefits of neighbouring schools.

Formal research was carried out with parish parents in February 2010 and showed that the 4 key reasons that Grafton is not the school of choice for the majority of parish parents are:

- Limited opportunities and choice for children to make friends
- Classroom arrangements mean that siblings will be in the same class
- Grafton is not a feeder school to preferred secondary school
- 4 year groups in the Key Stage 2 class is too great an age range.

In addition governors have developed excellent networks with local parents through Sunflowers, Grafton Goslings baby & toddler group and Friends of Grafton community and fundraising events. Governors feel that the combination of formal

and informal research facilitated by this provides a solid foundation for the work which has been completed. We know from this research that are several key factors why we are not school of choice. Not being a feeder school for St Johns is one of those factors – and it is clear that changing that element alone would not be enough – cohort sizes and the perceived lack of social opportunities from small class sizes are the overwhelming reasons.

The Governing Body first recognised that developing some form of partnership with another local school was the best route to secure our future following the publication of the Small Schools Strategy document by Wiltshire Council in 2005. At this point our school already had a long history of fluctuating numbers and lack of appeal across our parish and had fought off 2 previously proposed closures.

Over this 7 year period governors have considered options and made multiple proposals to 5 of our neighbouring schools on different forms of partnership. Most recently we proposed an Early Years model in which Grafton would focus on pre school and KS1 provision with pupils transferring to a partner school for KS2. Research with parents of children starting school in September 2011 demonstrated that this would positively influence many parents and result in an intake of a minimum 5 pupils. Unfortunately none of the governing bodies of our neighbouring schools have felt able to respond to our approaches positively and as a result most of the 2011 parents (many of these children are at Sunflowers) felt that the current Grafton model was not the best option for their children. Advisors from the Local Authority and Diocese have commended the creativity and volume of strategic partnership development work carried out by governors.

Throughout this time the teaching staff has maintained a good quality of education for our pupils. We received a Satisfactory Ofsted rating Sept 2009 and feel that the collaborative activities undertaken with other schools has mitigated the low pupil numbers at Grafton. Parents of the current 11 pupils feel strongly that the education their children are receiving is good, although some parents do have concerns that the social and friendship opportunities offered by the small cohort numbers are limiting. The decision to consult on closure was a very difficult one to make and was based on the advice of professionals, including our teaching staff and Local Authority and Diocese advisors.

The implication of closure on Sunflowers has been fully considered. There has always been a close working relationship between school leadership and the voluntary management committee which runs Sunflowers. A working party has been established to develop plans for growth to maintain pre school education on the site – and governors are closely involved with this.

We appreciate the response to the statutory consultation from Mr Armstrong and plan to contact him and offer to meet in order to reassure him that we have neither lost interest nor resigned ourselves to closure. Additionally we would welcome his involvement as a parent in the Sunflowers working party and other community activities. This was not a decision taken lightly, nor prematurely. The fact that just 1 objection was received, from an individual who does not currently reside in the parish, sadly speaks volumes about the current position of Grafton Primary School.